



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/944,444 08/31/2001		Susumu Fujioka	RCOH-1036	2864	
21302 7590 06/16/2004			EXAMINER		
•	OSHIDA & DUNLEA	HAVAN, THU THAO			
EIGHT PENN CENTER SUITE 1350, 1628 JOHN F KENNEDY BLVD PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2672	6	
		DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
· Supplemental					
Office Action Summary	09/944,444	FUJIOKA ET AL. Art Unit			
	Examiner Thu-Thao Havan	2672			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on teleptons.	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH (36(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be till by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON g date of this communication, even if timely file to the communication of the communi	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Ind., may reduce any			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 37-44 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,13,14,25 and 26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3-12,15-24 and 27-36 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed and all accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed accomposed and accomposed accompose	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2672

DETAILED ACTION

A telephone interview with Ken Yoshida on June 2, 2004 discussed the pending claims of Office Action mailed on March 2, 2004. For the record, the claims of office action of March 2, 2004 should have been rejected as follows:

Claims 1,2,13,14,25 and 26 are rejected;

Claims 3-12, 15-24, 27-36 are objected; and

Claims 37-44 are allowed.

Furthermore, the attached office action summary reiterated the status of the pending claims.

Claim Objections

Claims **3-12**, **15-24**, **27-36** are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Re claims **3-12**, **15-24**, **27-36**, the prior art fails to anticipate or rendered obvious the claimed features of the predetermined lightness range is from zero to ten, the perpendicularly intersecting line intersecting the achromatic axis at the lightness level of five, the first distance being longer than the second distance, the second point being selected at a point in the Munsell color-order system so that a ratio of the first distance over the second distance is equal to or more than four.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 2672

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-2, 13-14, and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by Luke et al. (US patent no. 6,081,253).

Re claim 1. Luke discloses a method of selecting an optimal color with respect to a background color using Munsell color-order system (col. 3, line 65 to col. 4, line 8), the Munsell color-order system being represented in a three dimensional space around an achromatic axis in a vertical direction the vertical direction representing a predetermined lightness range of lightness levels a horizontal direction representing a predetermined saturation range of saturation levels a circumference around the achromatic axis representing a predetermined hue range of hue levels (col. 29, lines 55-66; col.), comprising selecting a first point in the Munsell color-order system as a first color (col. 9, line 64 to col. 10, line 43), selecting a second point in the Munsell color-order system as a second color at a predetermined relative distance from the first point the first point and the second point forming a relative distance line the second color being sufficiently distinctive in combination with the first color for desired human perception (col. 34, line 43 to col. 35, line 53), and determining an optimal color range of colors from the second point in the Munsell color-order system the second color being selected from the optimal color range (figs. 9a-11d). In other words, Luke teaches color systems in which all

Art Unit: 2672

reproducible colors are arranged in a three dimensional color solid so that colors progressively change in lightness vertically, in saturation horizontally and in hue as the solid is rotated. After all planes are generated, they are arranged by the ratio of j to g or g to j, and occasionally by the ratio of L to j or L to g. This puts the planes in order by hue, so they move in an orderly fashion through color space. In the cases where g is not zero, the ratio j/g is used and the individual colors are placed on a j Chart where L is plotted vertically and J is plotted horizontally. In cases where g is zero, the ratio g/j is used and colors are plotted on a g Chart with L vertical and g horizontal. In those cases where j and g are zero or when colors that differ widely would be placed next to one another in both a j and a g chart, colors are determined by L/j or L/g and the colors are plotted on an L chart with g vertical and j horizontal.

Re claims **2**, **14**, **and 26**, Luke discloses the first point is off the achromatic axis a first distance and a second distance being defined from the achromatic axis respectively to the first point and the second point the first point and the second point forming a perpendicularly intersecting line that intersects the acromatic axis and is perpendicular to the achromatic axis (<u>fig. 7a-7b</u>). In figures 7a-7b, Luke illustrates the arrangement of planes within the OSA-UCS color solid when viewing the horizontal j,g plane from above. Figure 7b illustrates of the arrangement of planes within the OSA-UCS solid when viewing the vertical I, j plane from the red, or -g, side.

Re claims **13 and 25**, the limitations of claims 13 and 25 are identical to claim 1 above. Therefore, claims 13 and 2 are treated the same as discussed with respect to claim 1 above.

Art Unit: 2672

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 37-44 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art of record fails to anticipate or rendered obvious the technical features of

the second point being selected at a point in the Munsell color-order system so that a

ratio of the first distance over the second distance is equal to or more than four.

Furthermore, the prior art of record fails to teach the second point being selected at a

point in the Munsell color-order system so that a ratio of the first distance over the

second distance is equal to or less than one-fourth.

Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thu-Thao Havan whose telephone number is (703) 308-

7062. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reached on (703) 305-4713.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Art Unit: 2672

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Thu-Thao Havan June 14, 2004

JEFFERY BHILL PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 6

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Intonvious Summany	09/944,444	FUJIOKA ET AL.
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thu-Thao Havan	2672
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) <u>Thu-Thao Havan</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ken Yoshida</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>02 June 2004</u> .		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant	2)∏ applicant's repres	entative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-44</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>n/a</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. €	g)⊡ was not reached.	h)⊠ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: corrections of claims in contractions.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	copy of the amendment	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse s	e last Office action has THE MAILING DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE	already been filed, APPLICANT IS OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY OF THE INTERVIEW. See
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examine	r's signature, if required